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Architectural Permit and Use Permit Application No. 17-501 to allow the addition of 376.5 square feet in the rear of an existing 1,211 square foot single family residence on the historic resources inventory including the addition of a new 200 square foot wood deck to also allow the demolition of the existing substandard 2-car legal non-conforming garage and to rebuild the garage to a standard 2-car garage for a total residence of 1,643 square feet.

Jeanne Byrne, FAIA Architects, on behalf of Atsuko KiuchiFagerness, Owner

Categorical Exemption; §15301

## PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Architectural Permit/Use Permit 17-501 would allow the addition of 376.5 square feet in the rear of an existing 1,211 square foot single family residence on the historic resources inventory including the addition of a new 200 square foot wood deck. Use Permit 17-501 would allow the demolition of the existing substandard 2-car legal non-conforming garage and to rebuild the garage to a standard 2-car garage for a total residence of 1,643 square feet

## BACKGROUND

On May 25, 2017 Jeanne Byrne applied for an Architectural Permit to allow the remodel and addition of the existing single story residence located at 421 Laurel Avenue.
The proposed development will meet the development regulations set forth in the R-2 zoning district including setbacks and height requirements with the exception of the legal noconforming garage. The front setback is $15^{\prime}$ and the garage sets back 2' 4 " from the front property line.
The residence is also located in an Area of Special Biological Significance

## DISCUSSION

The subject residence is a single-story wood framed vernacular Folk Victorian Style residence that was built in 1900 with a legal non-conforming sub-standard garage. The existing non-
conforming sub-standard garage is being proposed to be demolished and rebuilt to a standard garage which still retains the legal non-conforming setback status.
Pursuant to PGMC 23.68.050(c) the demolition and reconstruction of more than $25 \%$ of the floor area of a nonconforming structure shall be permitted if a use permit is obtained.
The style of the new construction as proposed is similar to and subtly differentiated from that of the historic house making it visually clear between historic and new construction. The proposed addition is consistent with the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties.

The following General Plan, Historic and Archaeological Resources, Chapter 7, goals, policies, and programs are applicable to the Architectural Permit application.

Program M Ensure that development in the Retreat, and in other historic areas, is consistent with maintaining their traditional scale and character.

## Zoning Code:

The residence is located in the R-2 district. The allowable maximum building coverage is $50 \%$ and the proposed project site will have a building coverage of $30 \%$. The allowable maximum site coverage is $60 \%$ and the proposed project site will have site coverage of $40 \%$. The allowable maximum gross floor area is $3,000 \mathrm{sf}$ and the proposed project will create a $1,643 \mathrm{sf}$ residence.

## Trees and Landscaping:

No tree removal is being proposed as part of this development. The City Arborist will insure that tree protection measures are being met.

## Architectural Review Guidelines for Historic Buildings:

The project proposal appears to adhere to the following Architectural Review Guidelines:

## Guideline 1; Neighborhood Context:

The proposed addition complements the neighborhood. The neighborhood itself retains many historic properties. There are newer buildings as well as altered historic buildings which make reference to the historic context; neighborhood change should be slow and evolutionary.

## Guideline 2: Effects of additions on historic scale and character:

The new work can be distinguished from the historic building which assists to preserves the overall character of the historic structure.

Guideline \#3: Preservation of character-defining features.
The proposed addition preserves distinctive features that serve to define the structure as historic.
Guideline \#4: Compatibility of new work with old:
By differentiating the new work with the historic building the addition is compatible with the original historic building.

## Historic Review:

The March 2017, 2017 Phase 2 Historic Report by Kent Seavey has concluded the proposed project is in conformance with the Secretary of the Interior Standards.
The assessment found that the proposed design alterations to the historic residence meet the Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation. The proposed changes do not impact the remaining character-defining features or overall historic integrity of the building. The style of the new construction as proposed is similar to and subtly differentiated from that of the historic house. Because the proposed alterations to the building meet the Standards, the alterations are considered as mitigated to a level of less than a significant impact on the historic resource and do not constitute a substantial adverse change to the historic resource. The detached 2 car garage proposed for demolition dates back to the 1953 remodel and is in a deteriorated condition.

## Details:

The proposed project will have new painted horizontal siding to match the existing siding with a new comp shingle roof to match the existing roof. The windows will be new painted wood windows to match the existing windows.

## ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The project qualifies for a Class 1 exemption from CEQA requirements, pursuant to Section 15301(e) (1) - Existing Facilities. The proposed addition and alterations do not present any unusual circumstances that would result in a potentially significant environmental impact. The proposed alterations do not constitute a substantial adverse change to the structure, thus conforming to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

## RECOMMENDATION

Because of the project's consistency with the Zoning Ordinance and General Plan, and minimal impact on surrounding properties, staff recommends that the Architectural Review Board:

Recommend APPROVAL of AP/UP 17-501 to the Planning Commission pursuant to PGMC 23.70.060(c) (1) and 23.68.050(c) and subject to the attached Findings and Conditions.

## ATTACHMENTS

A. Permit Application
C. Draft Permit
E. CEQA Documentation
D. Phase II Historic report
F. Project Plans

## RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Lamel O'Haceoan
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## Permit Request:

CRD: Counter Determination
LAP: Architectural Permit
AAP: Administrative AP
ADC: Admin Design Change
SP: Sign Permit
K UP: Use Permit

## CEQA Determination:

Initial Study \& Mitigated
Negative Declaration
Environmental Impact
Report


AUP: Administrative UP UP-A: UP Amendment
IAUP-A: AUP Amendment
SU: Second Unit
LLA: Lot Line Adjustment LM: Lot Merger

IHS: Initial Historic Screening
HPP: Historic Preservation
A: Appeal
TPD: Tree Permit W/Dev't PUU: Undocumented Unit VAR: Variance

AVAR: Administrative VAR VAR-A: VAR Amendment AVAR-A: AVAR Amendment MMP: Mitigation Monitoring Stormwater Permit Other:

Review Authority:
$\square$ Staff $\square$ HRS
$\square \mathrm{ZA} \quad \square \mathrm{PC}$
$\square$ PRC $\square C C$
$\square$ ARB $\quad \square$ $\qquad$

## Active Permits:

$\square$ Active Planning Permit
$\square$ Active Building Permit
$\square$ Active Code Violation
Overlay Zones:
Butterfly Zone
Coastal Zone
$\infty$ Area of Special Biological
Significance (ASBS)
Environmentally Sensitive Habitat Area (ESHA)

## Property Information

Property Information
Lot: $2,4+6$$\quad$ Block: $24 \quad$ Tract: 1 Ad Adition

IC: $\qquad$ GP: High Density 29.0 dulac Lot Size: $5,263 \mathrm{Sf}$
$\square$ Archaeologically Sensitive Area

Historic Resources Inventory Permit \#: $\qquad$
$i^{3+} A d d i t a$

## Staff Use Only:

CERTIFICATION -1 , the undersigned, under penalty of perjury, depose and certify that 1 am the applicant for this request, that the property owner approves this application and that all statements contained herein, including all documents and plans submitted in connection with this application, are, trueand accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant Signature:


Owner Signature (Required):
 Date: $\qquad$ Date: $5 / 24 / 17$

PROJECT DATA SHEET

| Project Address： <br> Applicant（s）： | $\frac{L}{\text { TS }} A V E$ | Submittal Date： <br> Permit Type（s）\＆No（s）： |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Required／ Permitted | Existing Condition | Proposed Condition | Notes |
| Zone District | R．2 |  |  |  |
| Building Site Area | 5400 |  |  |  |
| Density（multi－family projects only） |  |  |  |  |
| Building Coverage | 2700 | 1211 | 1643 |  |
| Site Coverage | 3240 | 1471 | 2133 |  |
| Gross Floor Area | 3000 | 1211 | 1643 |  |
| Square Footage not counted towards Gross Floor Area |  |  |  |  |
| Impervious Surface Area Created and／or Replaced |  |  | 230 |  |
| Exterior Lateral Wall Length to be demolished in feet \＆\％of total＊ |  |  | $21 \mathrm{ft} / 17 \%$ |  |
| Exterior Lateral Wall Length to be built |  |  | $66^{\prime}$ |  |
| Building Height | 30 | $22^{1} 4^{\prime \prime}$ | $22^{1} 4^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| Number of stories | 2 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Front Setback | $15^{\prime}$ | $18^{1} 0^{10}$ | $18^{1} 0^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| $\frac{E A S T}{\text {（specify side）}}$ ide Setback | $10^{\prime}$ | $3^{\prime} 3^{\text {b }}$ | $3^{\prime} 3^{\prime}$ |  |
| $\frac{\text { WEST }}{\text {（specify side）}}$ Side Setback | 6 | $32^{\prime \prime}$ | $13^{\prime} 5^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| Rear Setback | $10^{\prime}$ | $33^{\prime} 8^{\prime \prime}$ | $29^{\circ} 11$ |  |
| Garage Door Setback |  | $2{ }^{\prime} 4^{11}$ | $24^{\prime \prime}$ |  |
| Covered Parking Spaces |  | sub－STAMDARO | 2 |  |
| Uncovered Parking Spaces |  | Q | Q |  |
| Parking Space Size （Interior measurement） | $9^{\prime} \times 20^{\prime}$ | $8.5 \times 17$ | $10 \times 20$ |  |
| Number of Driveways | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Driveway Width（s） |  | $16^{1}$ | $20^{\prime}$ |  |
| Back－up Distance |  | $2^{\prime} 4^{\prime \prime}$ | $2^{1} 4^{n}$ |  |
| Eave Projection（Into Setback） | 3＇maximum | 12 | 12 | （E）coniortion |
| Distances Between Eaves \＆Property Lines | 3＇minimum | $2^{1} 3^{6}$ | $23^{\circ}$ | （E）conliditron |
| Open Porch／Deck Projections |  | $41^{\prime \prime}$ | $4^{1} 1^{\prime \prime}$ | （E）EMTRY PGMent |
| Architectural Feature Projections |  |  |  |  |
| Number \＆Category of Accessory Buildings |  | DETACHEO GARAGE | DETACHEO C如bá |  |
| Accessory Building Setbacks |  | F゚ご4 s：l｜${ }^{\text {a }}$ | F：2f＂s：14＊ | Match（E）onsormon |
| Distance between Buildings |  | $13^{1}$ |  |  |
| Accessory Building Heights |  | 11 |  |  |
| Fence Heights |  | 6 |  |  |

＊If project proposes demolition to an HRI structure，also indicate \％of proposed demolition of the surface of all exterior walls facing a public street or streets，if applicable．
［Rev．01／14／14］

## CITY OF PACIFIC GROVE

Community Economic Development Department - Planning Division
300 Forest Avenue, Pacific Grove, CA 93950
T:831.648.3183•F:831.648.3184• www.ci.pg.ca.us/cdd

ARCHITECTURAL PERMIT (AP) AND USE PERMIT 17-501
FOR A PROPERTY LOCATED AT 421 LAUREL AVENUE TO ALLOW THE ADDITION OF 376.5 SQUARE FEET IN THE REAR OF AN EXISTING 1,211 SQUARE FOOT SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE ON THE HISTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY INCLUDING THE ADDITION OF A NEW 200 SQUARE FOOT WOOD DECK TO ALSO ALLOW THE DEMOLITION OF THE EXISTING SUBSTANDARD 2-CAR LEGAL NON-CONFORMING GARAGE AND TO REBUILD THE GARAGE TO A STANDARD 2-CAR GARAGE FOR A TOTAL RESIDENCE OF 1,643 SQUARE FEET.

## FACTS

1. The subject site is located at 421 Laurel Avenue Pacific Grove, 93950 APN 006-276-002
2. The subject site has a designation of High Density 29.0 du/ac on the adopted City of Pacific Grove General Plan Land Use Map.
3. The project site is located in the R-2 zoning district.
4. The subject site is approximately 5,263 square feet.
5. The subject site is developed with a 5,263 square foot one -story single family dwelling.
6. The existing structure was built in 1895 and is on the City's Historic Resources Inventory.
7. A Phase II historic report was prepared by qualified historian Kent Seavey in March of 2017.
8. The subject site is located in the Area of Special Biological Significance Watershed(ASBS).
9. This project has been determined to be CEQA Exempt under CEQA Guidelines Section 15301(e) (1).

## FINDINGS

1. The proposed development will meet the development regulations set forth in the R-2 zoning district including setbacks and height requirements and;
2. The architecture and general appearance of the completed project is compatible with the neighborhood because the proposed exterior will be compatible with the size, scale and proportions of the existing residence and other residences in the neighborhood, in that the proposal is consistent with Architectural Review Guidelines for Historic homes 1,2,3,4 and;
3. The completed project will neither be detrimental to the orderly and harmonious development of the city nor impair the desirability of investment or occupation in the neighborhood because the project will be improving the subject property, and;
4. The Staff have been guided by and made reference to applicable provisions of the Architectural Review Guidelines in making its determinations on single-family residences.

## PERMIT

Architectural Permit (AP) 17-501:
to allow the addition of 376.5 square feet in the rear of an existing 1,211 square foot single family residence on the historic resources inventory including the addition of a new 200 square foot wood deck to also allow the demolition of the existing substandard 2 -car legal non-conforming garage and to rebuild the garage to a standard 2 -car garage for a total residence of 1,643 square feet.

1. Permit Expiration. This permit shall expire and be null and void if a building permit has not been applied for within one (1) year from and after the date of approval. Application for extension of this approval must be made prior to the expiration date.
2. Construction Compliance. All construction must occur in strict compliance with the proposal as set forth in the application, subject to any special conditions of approval herein. Any deviation from approvals must be reviewed and approved by staff, and may require Architectural Review Board approval.
3. Terms and Conditions. These terms and conditions shall run with the land, and it is the intention of the Community and Economic Development (C\&ED) Director and the Permittee to bind all future owners and possessors of the subject property to the terms and conditions, unless amended. Amendments to this permit may be achieved only if an application is made and approved, pursuant to the Zoning Code.
4. Public Works, Fire and Building. Review and approval by the Public Works, Fire and Building Departments are required prior to issuance of a building permit. Work taking place in the public right-of-way shall require an encroachment permit prior to issuance of the building permit.
5. Tree Protection Standards During Construction: Pursuant to Municipal Code Chapters 12.20 and 12.30, and the Urban Forestry Standards, all trees that are otherwise protected and will be impacted as a result of Development, both proposed for pruning or removal and where the development will impact the critical root zone of the tree are protected. Prior to issuance of the building permit, the Project Arborist shall review grading, drainage, utility, building and landscape plans to determine impacts to individual Trees, to determine required minimum Tree protection standards during construction.
6. Stormwater Treatment Measure: The stormwater treatment measures shall be maintained by the property owner in perpetuity and City of Pacific Grove staff shall be allowed access to inspect all stormwater treatment measures on an annual basis.
7. Lighting: All exterior lighting must conform to Architectural Review Guidelines Nos. 10,11,12
8. Historic. Any historic elements removed from the historic dwelling, including but not limited to windows, and doors, must either be reused on-site of stored for future use, to the greatest extent practicable.
9. Archeology. If archaeological resources or human remains are discovered during construction, work shall be halted within 50 meters of the find until it can be evaluated by a qualified professional archaeologist. If the find is determined to be significant, appropriate mitigation measures shall be formulated, with the concurrence of the City of Pacific Grove staff, and implemented.
10. Building Plans: All conditions of approval for the Planning permit(s) shall be printed on a full size sheet and included with the construction plan set submitted to the Building Department.
11. Story Poles and Netting: Following the 10 day appeal period all story poles and netting are required to be removed.

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF PACIFIC GROVE:

1. The Commission determines that each of the Findings set forth above is true and correct, and by this reference incorporates those Findings as an integral part of this Permit.
2. The Commission authorizes Approval of Architectural Permit (AP) 17-501
3. This permit shall become effective upon the expiration of the 10-day appeal period.
4. This permit shall not take effect until the owner acknowledges and agrees to all terms and conditions and agrees to conform to and comply with those terms and conditions.

Passed and adopted at a regular meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Pacific Grove on the $22^{\text {nd }}$ day of June, 2017, by the following vote:

## AYES:

NOES:
ABSENT:
APPROVED:

William Frederickson, Chair

The undersigned hereby acknowledge and agree to the approved terms and conditions, and agree to fully conform to, and comply with, said terms and conditions.

CITY OF PACIFIC GROVE
Community Development Department - Planning Division
300 Forest Avenue, Pacific Grove, CA 93950
T: 831.648.3190 • F: 831.648.3184 • www.ci.pg.ca.us/cdd
NOTICE OF EXEMPTION FROM CEQA

## Property Address/Location: 421 Laurel, Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Project Description: AP UP 170501
Architectural Permit and Use Permit Application No. 17-501 to allow the addition of 376.5 square feet in the rear of an existing 1,211 square foot single family residence on the historic Description:resources inventory including the addition of a new 200 square foot wood deck to also allow the demolition of the existing substandard 2 -car legal non-conforming garage and to rebuild the garage to a standard 2-car garage for a total residence of 1,643 square feet.
APN: 006276002000
ZC: R-2
Lot Size: 5,263 SF

| Applicant Name: | Jeanne Byrne |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mailing Address: | 591 Lighthouse Avenue |
| Email Address: | jcbarchfaia@att.net |

Phone \#: 372.6585

Public Agency Approving Project: City of Pacific Grove, Monterey County, California
Exempt Status (Check One):
[] Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1):15268))
Declared Emergency (Sec. 21080(b)(3): 15269(a))
Emergency Project (Sec. 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c))
Categorical Exemption
Type and Section Number: section 15301(e)(1)
Statutory Exemption
Type and Section Number:
Other:

## Exemption Findings:

The project qualifies for a Class 1 exemption from CEQA requirements, pursuant to Section 15301(e) (1) Existing Facilities. The proposed addition and alterations do not present any unusual circumstances that would result in a potentially significant environmental impact. The proposed alterations do not constitute a substantial adverse change to the structure, thus conforming to the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

Contact: Laurel OHalloran, Planning Department, City of Pacific Grove
Contact Phone: (831) 648-3183

Date: $\qquad$

March 27, 2017
Jeanne C. Byrne, FAIA
Architects
591 Lighthouse Ave. Suite 5
Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Dear Ms. Byrne:

## Introduction:

This Focused Phase II Historic Assessment has been prepared on behalf of Robert \& Atsuko Kiuchi-Fagerness as part of an application for an addition to a listed historic residence. The subject property is located at 421 Laurel Ave. (APN \#006-276-002), in Pacific Grove (see photos and plans \& drawings provided).

## Historical Background \& Description

The subject property is an altered c. 1900 vernacular Folk Victorian Style residence. Monterey Co. Assessor's, and Pacific Grove building records indicate the house was constructed in 1900. It first appears on the Sanborn fire insurance map of Pacific Grove in 1905. Pacific Grove building permits show a remodeling in 1953 (PGBP\# 1895), Stair rail repair in 1995 (PGBP\# 95-0220), and a new concrete walkway and stairs, leading down tollth St. in the same year (PGBP\# 950237). Some exterior siding was replaced on the east side of the stepped, rear portion of the building envelope in 2003 (PGBP\# 03-0408).
The property is listed as \#916 in the Pacific Grove Historic Resource Survey, dated January 1, 2007. It is historic, at the local level of significance, as a relatively late representative example of the vernacular Folk Victorian style of architecture, within the theme of Early Development of Pacific Grove (1873-1902), found in the 2011 Pacific Grove Historic Context Statement. Its period of significance is 1900 (see data from the Heritage Society of Pacific Grove, provided).

The subject property is one-story wood-framed vernacular Folk Victorian Style residence with a raised foundation, basically rectangular in plan, resting on concrete. The exterior wall-cladding is a medium-width horizontal rustic wood siding with corner-boards, and a wide horizontal wood belt-course running around the building envelope at the floor-plate, w/horizontal flush-board wood siding below. The steep-pitched front-gabled roof has slightly overhanging eaves with a closed soffit. A lower, stepped roof with steeper pitch, covers the slightly set back rear portion of the building envelope.
A full-width, open porch with a flat-roof runs across the north facing facade, and is the principal character-defining feature of the building. The porch rests atop a raised wood base, with a wide, central open wood and concrete staircase running down to sidewalk level along Laurel Avenue. The porch railing, beginning at the top of the concrete steps, is in a geometric ladder-like pattern leading up to chamfered wood posts having scroll-sawn brackets, supporting a narrow, boxlike patterned open frieze at the edge of the porch roof. The elaborate feature is composed of elements from the Queen Anne and Eastlake styles decorative vocabularies. A tall, louvered vent is centered in the gable apex. This feature is repeated in the south end of the stepped rear gable. The otherwise symmetrical balance of the fenestration on the facade is disturbed by an offset five-panel front door, that may have been moved during the 1953 remodel. All roof covering in in composition shingles.
Fenestration is irregular, with single $1 / 1$ double-hung wood windows around the main building block, with single fixed wood and one sliding aluminum window on the stepped back rear component. A rear door appears on the east side of the south gable end of the house, It is a wood panel type with glazing above and a modern screen door. Window trim on the building envelope consists of slender, partial height applied false shutters on all fenestration. There is a detached two-car woodframed garage, recessed into a failing concrete revetment at street level west of the residence. This feature probably dates to the 1953 remodel and is in a deteriorated condition.
The subject property is sited high above the SW corner of Laurel Ave., and $11^{\text {th }}$ Street in an informal landscape setting. The facade is framed by mature palm-like ornamental trees, as well as a less exotic type near the garage along Laurel. The road gradient retaining-wall along $11^{\text {th }}$ Street further heightens the visual massing of the residence. It is located in an R-2 zoned neighborhood of one and two-story homes of varying ages, sizes and styles.
Character-defining features of the property include its basically rectangular plan; raised foundation; horizontal rustic wood exterior wall-cladding; $1 / 1$ double-hung and single fixed windows and front gabled form with a picturesque late Victorian full-width open porch.

The record is mute on the original owner, Mrs. Clara W. Atherton (widow of John Atherton) who had the residence from 1900 to at least 1947. By 1953 Mr. Earl F. Goforth, a housepainter was the owner, and executed the first remodeling of the house. After 1960 ownership changes occurred about every three years (see data from the Heritage Society of Pacific Grove, provided).

## Evaluation:

The owners propose to add a master bedroom and bath off the SW side of the building envelope, with an open porch running east to west along its north facing facade. The proposed addition will step slightly back (south) off the west side of the existing rear portion of the building envelope. It will share a common wall part way along the existing west side elevation. The proposed addition will provide for more efficient contemporary use of the space and code required bedroom egress, while preserving those portions of the property which are significant to its historic, architectural, and cultural features. The proposed new work, as called for in the Secretary's Rehabilitation Standards, \#9 \& \#10 is reversible (see photos, plans \& drawings provided).
The Secretary of the Interiors Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties Identify four primary treatment approaches to historic buildings. They are Restoration, Preservation, Reconstruction and Rehabilitation. Rehabilitation would be the recommended standard of treatment for the subject property.
Rehabilitation is defined as the act or process of making a compatible use for a property through repair, alterations and additions while preserving those portions or features which convey its historical, cultural, or architectural values.
The Secretary's Standards encourages "placing a new addition on a non-characterdefining elevation." and locating alterations to historic properties in areas where previous alterations already exist.
As stated in the 1992 National Park Service Illustrated Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings, "The Standards are to be applied to specific rehabilitation projects in a reasonable manner, taking into consideration economic and technical feasibility."
As noted above, the Standards recommend new additions be placed on secondary elevations, and where alteration has already occurred. In this instance the proposed addition and minor alterations will appear on secondary and altered elevations, but maintain the historic character of the residence.
The proposed new work will be differentiated from the old.
The new work will be compatible with the size, scale, proportions and massing to protect the integrity of the subject property and its environment. If removed in future, the essential form and integrity of the historic residence will be unimpaired (see photos, plans \& drawings provided).

## Evaluation for Significance

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), PRC Sec.21084.1 requires all properties fifty years of age or older to be reviewed for potential historic significance. Criteria for that significance is addressed in PRC Sec. 5024.1 (a). It asks, did any event important to the region, state or nation occur on the property? Did anyone important to the region, state or nation occupy the property during the productive period of their lives? Does the building represent an important architectural type, period or method of construction, or is it a good example of the work of a noted architect or master-builder? The criteria also asks if the property is likely to yield information significant to the understanding of the areas history. Eligibility for historic listing of buildings, structures, objects, sites and districts, i.e., rests on the twin factors of historic significance and integrity to be considered for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, the California Register of Historical Resources, and the Pacific Grove Historic Resources Survey. Loss of integrity, if sufficiently great, will overwhelm the historic significance a resource may possess and render it ineligible for historic listing. Likewise, a resource can have complete integrity, but if it lacks significance, it must also be considered ineligible. Integrity is measured by the application of seven aspects, defined by the National Register Criteria for Evaluation. They include: Location, the place where the historic property was constructed, or an historic event occurred; Design, the combination of elements that create the form, plan, space, structure, and style of a building; Setting, the physical environment of the historic property; Materials, the physical elements that were combined during a particular period of time and in a particular pattern or configuration to form a historic property; Workmanship, the physical evidence of the crafts of a particular culture or people during any given period in history; Feeling \& Association are subjective elements that assess a resources ability to evoke a sense of time and place.

## Evaluation of Historic Integrity.

The subject property retains its original location and setting. It's design, materials and expression of workmanship retain a high level of integrity.

They convey a strong sense of time and place and of feeling and association within the theme of Early Development of Pacific Grove (1873-1902), found in the 2011 Pacific Grove Historic Context Statement. Its period of significance is 1900 (see Heritage Society of Pacific Grove documentation provided).

## Impacts of the Proposed Project:

The owner proposes the following addition/alterations for contemporary usage.

NORTH (FRONT) ELEVATION (primary, altered)
No change other than the visible western bedroom addition at the rear (south) of the building envelope is proposed for this elevation.

## EAST-SIDE-ELEVATION (primary, altered)

As proposed, one small, fixed 1953 window in the stepped down light in the stepped down portion of the building envelope to the rear (south will be removed and in filled to match, in kind, the existing exterior wall-cladding. A short portion of the proposed addition will project south beyond the existing building envelope.

## SOUTH (REAR) ELEVATION (secondary, altered)

The full length of the proposed bedroom/bath addition will extend west from the west side of the existing rear (south) elevation. The 1953 door and window on the east side of this elevation will change, with the door moved to the west, and a new window constructed in its former space. Any required exterior wall-cladding in fill will match, in kind, that on the original building envelope. A small, gabled bay will connect the historic building envelope to the proposed addition. The rooflines of both the proposed connecting bay and the addition will be lower that those on the original building envelope to clearly differentiate the old from the new, as called for in Rehabilitation Standard \#9, and a new entry door placed on a small gabled mud-room accessing the new space. The exterior finishes and roof form will be consistent with the design and material character of the historic house. The new roof will abut the west roof plane of the stepped portion of the original building envelope, consistent with the design character of the historic property. The proposed new construction will be undertaken in such a manner that, if removed in future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired (see photos, plans \& drawings provided).

## WEST SIDE-ELEVATION (secondary).

The proposed addition will form an ell shape toward the rear of the west sideelevation. It will employ some of the existing exterior wall surface as interior walls, separating the new spaces from the old, and retaining most of the original framing.
The proposed open deck along the north side of the addition will attach to the existing building envelope at the location of an original $1 / 1$ double-hung wood window. This window will be replaced with a slightly modified wood window matching the original placement and dimensions of the existing feature, but with a
lower meeting rail.
Proposed fenestration along the addition's north elevation will be limited to a pair of glazed French doors toward the west end of the feature, to provide code required bedroom egress from the addition to the deck space. A kitchen access door will be constructed on the west side elevation of the original building envelope The open porch deck will employ simple wood rail and balusters, and will be consistent with the historic character of the property (see photos and plans \& drawings provided). The subject property is listed in the local historic resource survey. The proposed work is a good example of the Secretary of the Interior's edict encouraging the placing of new additions on non-character-defining elevations and locating alterations to historic properties in areas where previous alterations already exist. All proposed additions/alterations will be compatible with the size, scale, proportions and massing of the historic residence, to protect its integrity and environment. If the addition is removed in future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment will be unimpaired.

## Conclusion:

The proposed work on the subject property will be executed consistent with the Secretary's Standards for Rehabilitation, with minimal loss of historic material so that the remaining character-defining features of the resource will not be obscured, damaged or destroyed. The proposed addition/ alterations are reversible. As proposed the new work will not cause a significant change to the listed historic building and will not create a significant adverse effect on the environment

## Mitigation:

No mitigation is needed for this project.
Respectfully Submitted,


## 421 Avenue-Pacific Grove



Photo \#1. Looking south at the subject property \& its environmental setting, note the c. 1953 garage at right, Kent Seavey, March, 2017.


Photo \#2. Looking SE at the west side-elevation, Kent Seavey, March, 2017.


Photo \#3. Looking south at the North facing Folk Victorian facade, note
Offset entry door, left of center, Kent Seavey, March, 2017.


Photo \#4. Looking SW at the facade and east side-elevation, note visual Height of building envelope on its site, Kent Seavey, March, 2017.


Photo \#5. Looking NW at the east side-elev. \& stepped/offset rear component, Note window types \& dec. shutters, Kent Seavey, March 2017.


Photo \#6. Looking north at rear (south) elevation, note modern screen door At right, Kent Seavey, March, 2017.

State of California - The Resourcas Agency DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION

## HISTORIC RESOURCES INVENTORY

## IDENTIFICATION



1. Common name: $\qquad$
2. Historic name, if known: Atherton, (Clara W.) House 421 Laurel
3. Street or rural address $\qquad$ City: Pacific Grove, CA ZIP: 93950 County: Monterey
4. Present owner, if known Dalmacio M. Carpio 710. 93950 Address: 421 Laurel City: Pacific Grove, CA $Z 1 P$ : Ownership is: Public $\square$ Private ${ }^{\text {W/ }}$
5. Present Use: Private Residence Original Use: Single mily Reside ce Other past uses: $\qquad$

## DESCRIPTION

6. Brieffy describe the present physical appearance of the site or structure and describe any major alterations from its original condition:

This is a one story house wtih bracketed veranda, mediun pitched roof, decorative boxed cornices, horizontal siding and segmented windows $1 / 1$.
7. Locational sketch map ldraw and label site and surrounding streets, roads, and prominent landmarks):

8. Approximate property size:

or approx. acreage $\qquad$ -.
9. Condition: (check one)
 idings: (Check more than one if necessary)
:n land $\quad \square$ b. Scattered buildings $\square$

mmercial $\square$
f. Industrial

ther $\square$
eats to site:


Zoning $\square$
d. Public Works project $\square$

Vandalism

f. Other $\square$ $\qquad$

NOTE: The following (items 14-19) are for structures only.
14. Primary exterior building materiat:
f. Other $\square$
a. Stone $\square$ b. Brick $\square$
c. Stucco
d. Adobee. Wood

```
5
```

15. Is the structure: a. On its original site? $X$
b. Moved? $\square$
c. Unknown? $\square$
16. Year of initial construction 1900
This date is:
a. Factuat $x$
b. Estimated $\qquad$
17. Architect (if known): $\qquad$
18. Builder (ifknow i): $\qquad$
19. Related features: a. Barn $\square$ b. Carriage house $\square$
c. Outhouse $\square$
d. Shed(s)
$\square$ e. Formai garderas $\qquad$
f. $\quad$ indmil $\square$ 9. Matertower tankhouse $\square$ r Orher $\square$ ? $\qquad$ x SIGNIFICANCE


## This house is located on a quiet comer and adds viccorian character to the street.

21. Main theme of the hisioric resource: (Check only one): A. Architecture $x$ b. Arts \& Leicure
c. Economic/ndustrial $\square$ d. Exploration/Settlement $\square$
e. Government
$\square$
Mlitary
g. Religionh. Social/Education $\square$
22. Sources: List books, documents, surveys, personal interview and heir dates:

## Monterey County Assessor"s Records

23. Date form prepared: $\qquad$ B. (name) $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$ City _...................
Phone: $\qquad$ Organization: $\qquad$ - 71
$\qquad$ (1)

Clara U Atherton House 421 Lave

This one story house with horizontal indira was built in the 1 st Addison to the Pacino Prove Retreat. The tot size- $60 \times 90^{\circ}$ allows th: small house to enjoy a relatively large yard. It a was built in a simple rectangular shape and is adorned only with a bracketed porch across the facade. This house, as was the case with many of them, as as in a woman ownestipa.

N.Laurel Ave.
E.11th. St.
S.Pine Ave.
W.Carmal Ave.


BLOCK 24 [First Addition] P.G.
ABStract of title


ALIOE M. \& IDA M. SABINE
$830811 t h . S t . \quad 10-1-10$
alice martha s. b. 1861 d. 1945 IDA MAY 8. b. 1871 Canadà d. 195 1

MRS. MARY £. WHEELER

2 [W $\frac{1}{2}$ ]
$4, \varepsilon$
MARY LaNCASter
9,11 311 Carmei Ave.
$-\cdots$

GORDON ELMER \&
9,11
ANNA SPOTSWOOD
BERTHA HORRISBERGER12 310
14 [N娄]
L.F. BRAYMAN $\begin{array}{ll}13 \\ & 15\end{array} 315$ Carmel Ave:

ALICE L. BRAYMAN 13,1
EMMA WEISENDANGER $14\left[\mathrm{~S} \frac{1}{2}\right]$ 9-7-09
$1631411 t h . S t$.
CLARA E. DAVISSION 17 --m
$19 \quad 430$ Pine Ave.
GUSSIE C. MORRILL,
IDEL E. PRATLIER,
\& ADA frances



Armstrong, C. Gerard, "Pacific Grove Dally News," r. 20932 For. est ay.
Armstrong, D. F., editor and propr. "Paclic Grove Dally News," and real estate, $2091 / 2$ Forest av., r. 229 Fifth.
Armstrong, M. Calle Mrs., massage and electrical treatment,
5413/2 Llghthouse av., 5. 229 Flith.
Ashley, John P., Rev., r. 138 Tenth.
Atchison, Annie Miss, r. 224 Flith.
Atherton, C. W. Mrs., r. 421 Laurel ay.
Austrian Phoenix ins. Co; of Vienna, W. B. Fllcher, agent, 567
Lighthouse ar.

## B

Babcock. Wm., r. 131 Seventh.
Exeon, En, teamster, J. C. AIthoar Ca
Ealles, Charles W., driper Pacilic Grovo Traosier Co., r. 512 Screnteenh.
Beliey, Bryant B., r. 158 Fliteenth.
Balley, Francla, driver C. M. Tlbbetts, r. n. end of Alder.
Baikor, Arlington, painter, r. $209^{\circ}$ 日 Forest av.
Baicer, F. W., employe Paclic Improvement Co., r. 206 Seventeenth.
Belch, Helen Miss, teacher Grammar School, y. 216 Granlte. Baich, M. R. Mrs., r. 216 Granite.
Bell, H. S., r. 288 Central ar.
Bellard, F. D., r. 321 Ocean ar.
Bank of Pacific Grove, O. S. Trimmer, pres.; Caarles D. Honry, vice Dres.; Geo. W. Echhardt, cashler, 574 Lighthouse av. Bank of Pacific Grove Building, ne cor. Lighthouse ar. and Forest av.
Bankers Loan \& Trust Co., Gould and Pell, agents, 568 Lichthouse sV.
Eanks, M. A. Mrs., 5. 232 Plne av.
Banta, Abraham, r. 222 Seventeenth.
Barber, Beajamin, employe Paclic Improvement Co., r, 307 Park
Brober, Ellzabeth Mrs., $f$. a Park, 1st h n of Laurel mr.
Berker, Carrie F. Mrs., teacher, r. 211 Fourth.
Bartley, M. J. Mrs., r. 693 Unlon.
Basile, A., r. s of west end Lighthouse s. $Y$.
Eay Clty Market, E. C. Buffam, propr., 207 Forest ar.
Beyles, Adelia Miss, r. 320 Congress avi.
Bayles, Alle Miss, r. 320 Congress ay.
Fleardsley, Delos, clerk, J. K. Paul, r. 403 Central.
Beeman, Rea D., driver Bay City Market, 5. 551 Pine sy.


DATE: OCt. 21.195

TO: Robert \& Atsuko Fagerness 421 Laurel

Pacific Grove, CA 93950

Re: Address: $\qquad$
421 Laurel Ave. subdivision 1st Addition block 24 lot 4, Et2 Our maps and tax records show that the above structure was built in $\qquad$ 1900 and the first owner of record was Clara W. Atherton Other comments: Unfortunately, we have no info on the Atherton family.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

$X X X$ Enclosed please find: $\qquad$
Sanborn maps from 1905 and 1926.
City Directory page from 1907.
From: Adam Weiland
Research Director


[^1]

EXI/TING ROOF PLAN SCALE: $18^{1=1}=1 \cdot 0^{1}$


PROPOJED ROOF PLAN


EXV/TING FLOOR PLAN


PROPO SED GARAGE PLAN


PROPOSED FLOOR PLAN


EXIJTING NORTH ELEVATION


$\frac{E X I / T I N G W E S T \text { ELEVATION }}{\text { raceslcser }}$
Jeal: $310^{\circ}=-0^{-0}$

$\frac{\text { PROPOSED NORTH ELEVATION }}{\text { CLEESME }}$


${ }^{\text {Hem }}$ 8a

$\frac{\text { EXI/TING EA/T ELEVATION }}{\text { Kantesseric }}$




$\frac{\text { PROPOSED EA/T ELEVATION }}{\text { Falk }}$


PROPOSED SOUTH ELEVATION



GARAGE: NORTH ELEV.

(03) DETAIL: WALL JECTION

(02) DETAIL: WALLSECTION (O1) DETAIL: EAVE, $\mathcal{J I M}$.


## Google Maps 421 Laurel Ave

|  |  | - | Hier 1 mposis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grove Maikel |  | Ligrthouse 40 |  |
|  | Poweic luxtuer |  |  |



## 421 Laurel Ave

Pacific Grove, CA 93950


## Google Maps 421 Laurel Ave
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## 421 Laurel Ave

Pacific Grove, CA 93950
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5. INSTRUCTIONS: Table \#1 should list the fixtures on the property as thev exist before the project. Table \#2 should reflect all fixtures on
the property after the project is completed. Only one Master Bathroom can be designated per dwelling unit.


 LZEGb Vo 'OLNz W\&ADFS : Ssaxpp IIPN-G Daytime telephone: $\frac{916-288 \cdot 8176}{9675 \text { ELMIRA CIRCLE }}$ Name: ROB \& ATSUKO FAGERNESS ALL SPACES BELOW MUST BE COMPLETED OR THE APPLICATION MAY NOT BE PROCESSED. (Please
OWNERSHIP INFORMATION:
2. AGENT/REPRESENTATIVE INFORMATION 5 Harris Court, Bldg. G $\downarrow$ Monterey, CA $93940 \star$ (831) 658-5601 $\downarrow$ www.mpwnd. net $\downarrow$ Fax (831) 644-9558
Completing the Water Release Form \& Water Permit Application does not guarantee issuance of a Water Permit


[^0]:    Laurel O'Halloran
    Associate Planner

[^1]:    

